

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/085,066	03/01/2002	Pere Relats	08758.0001	9139
75	90 04/24/2003			
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			WORRELL JR, LARRY D	
Washington, DC 20005-3315			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	U

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/085,066	RELATS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Danny Worrell	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-14,18-25 and 29-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17 and 26-28</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)	o phone, and or oo o.o. o. 33 120					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3765

1.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 21 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "at least one end" is indefinite as there is no previous recited basis in the claim for the tube having such ends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 12, 13, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsuda (4022034).

Matsuda (4022034) teaches the method for providing a protecting tube as claimed including knitting a plurality of yarns (12a, 12b) to form the protecting tube using a Raschel-type

Art Unit: 3765

j.,

warp knitting machine. (column 2, line 14) The protecting being one of an open and closed configuration (see figures 1 & 2) to contain at least one of a cable or conduit (11). Note the specific tricot, chain stitch as seen in figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 12-14, 18-25 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ribarev et al. (4781039) in view of Matsuda (4022034).

Ribarev et al. (4781039) teaches the method for providing a protecting tube as claimed including knitting a plurality of yarns to form the protecting tube (D) using a warp knitting machine. The protecting being one of an open and closed configuration (see figure 20) to contain at least one of a cable or conduit (S). note the second knitted tube(C) and resin(R). While Ribarev et al. (4781039) sets forth that knitting takes place on a warp knitting machine, it is not expressly set forth as being a Raschel warp knitting machine. Matsuda (4022034) shows warp knit tubes formed on Raschel type warp knitting machine. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to knit

Art Unit: 3765

the protective warp knit tube of Ribarev et al. (4781039) on a Raschel type warp knitting machine as shown by Matsuda (4022034) since tubes are typically knit on Raschel machines as shown by Matsuda (4022034) and in order to have the design features and knitting speeds typically associated with Raschel knitting machines. Concerning the specific use of two different yarns, the specific yarn sizes or a resin. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to provide two different yarn materials in order to diversify the physical properties of the knitted tube. Additionally, It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to choose from a number of different yarn sizes including those claimed since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 15-17, 26-28 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Worrell whose telephone number is (703) 308-0889. Messages

placed on voice mail will be returned by the end of my next business day.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-0758.

LDW

March 24, 2003

Primary Examiner

TC 3700